IN THE CLAIMS

Claims pending

• At time of the Action: Claims 1-7, 9-18, 32, 33, and 53

• After this Response: Claims 1-7, 9-18, 32, 33, and 53

Canceled or Withdrawn claims: 18, 32, and 33

Amended claims: None

New claims: None

1. (Previously Presented) A system for ranking items in a selectable

information list received from an information delivery system, comprising:

a database system that logs selections of information viewed by local users of

the information delivery system and tags each of the logged selections of information

with a corresponding time subinterval from a plurality of time subintervals that relates

to a respective viewing of the selected information;

a filtering component that forms a temporally filtered reviewed items list that

includes a subset of the logged selections of information viewed by the local users,

the subset chosen to incorporate the logged selections tagged with a particular one

of the plurality of time subintervals that includes a target time period for providing a

recommendation, the temporally filtered reviewed items list provides implicit evidence

of content preferences associated with a likely subset of the local users that employs

the information delivery system during the particular one of the plurality of time

subintervals;

a collaborative filtering system that infers the content preferences associated

with the likely subset of the local users by utilizing the subset of the logged selections

included in the temporally filtered reviewed items list as an input, and generates the

recommendation specific to the inferred, likely subset of the local users based at

least in part on the inferred content preferences and information obtained from a

plurality of global users related to the particular one of the plurality of time

subintervals; and

a user interface that displays the recommendation.

-3-

lee@hayes The Business of IP®

Atty Docket No.: MS1-4861US Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck

Serial No.: 09/825,820

www.leehayes.com • 509.324.9256

2. (Previously presented) The system of claim 1, a selection is logged if

the selection is viewed for a dwell time that exceeds a predetermined threshold.

3. (Previously presented) The system of claim 2, the collaborative filtering

system assigns a positive vote to logged selections that are viewed for a dwell time

that exceeds a predetermined threshold.

4. (Previously presented) The system of claim 1, a selection is logged if

the selection is briefly viewed and jumped away to another selection.

5. (Previously presented) The system of claim 4, the collaborative filtering

system assigns a negative vote to logged selections that are viewed briefly and

jumped away to another selection.

6. (Previously presented) The system of claim 1, the viewed information is

time stamped by event type and the collaborative filtering system is based on a single

collaborative filtering model that is trained according to time subintervals that the

information has been viewed.

7. (Previously presented) The system of claim 1, the collaborative filtering

system is based on a plurality of separate collaborative filtering models, each

collaborative filtering model is trained with the information from a particular time

subinterval of temporal history that has been viewed.

-4-

lee@haves The Business of IP®

8. (Canceled).

9. (Previously presented) The system of claim 1, the collaborative filtering

system provides in real-time a selectable recommendation list ordered by estimated

degree of preference that a user has for each item.

10. (Previously presented) The system of claim 9, the collaborative filtering

system receives attributes of at least one user of the system and utilizes these

attributes in providing the selectable recommendation list.

11. (Previously presented) The system of claim 10, the collaborative

filtering system receives attributes of other systems and utilizes these attributes in

providing a globally ranked recommendation list to a cluster of systems based on the

temporal viewing history of the systems of the cluster.

12. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 9, the collaborative filtering

system receives the temporally filtered reviewed items list that has been further

filtered by the filtering component and generates a new recommendation according

to the preferences provided by the further filtered reviewed items list.

(Previously Presented) The system of claim 12, the filtering component

comprising at least one of a live show selection filter, a popularity filter, a pattern

-5-

search engine or an adding items of interest and update component.

14. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 1, further comprising a user

interface that allows a user to selectively filter the temporally filtered reviewed items

list used as the input for the collaborative filtering system.

15. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 14, the user interface

allows a user to request a time period for reviewing information from a selectable

recommendation list wherein the collaborative filtering system supplies the selections

for the time period requested based on the tags associated with the selections within

a similar time interval covering the time period.

16. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 14, the user interface

receives the temporally filtered reviewed items list, allows a user to modify the

temporally filtered reviewed items list, and inputs the modified reviewed items list as

updated preferences into the collaborative filtering system, such that a new

recommendation list can be generated based on the updated preferences.

17. (Original) The system of claim 1, the information being multimedia.

18. (Withdrawn) A multimedia system that provides a recommendation to a

set top box for utilization by an electronic program guide, comprising;

a database system that logs selections of programs viewed by users of a set

-6- lee@haves The Business of IP®

Serial No.: 09/825,820 Atty Docket No.: MS1-4861US Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck top box and users of disparate set top boxes, and logs temporal history that includes

a plurality of time subintervals that correspond to the viewing of the selected

programs; and

a collaborative filtering system that employs the logged temporal history from

the database system to infer content preferences associated with a likely subset of

the users that employs the set top box during a particular one of the plurality of time

subintervals, which includes a target time period for providing a recommendation,

produce a user specific recommendation pertaining to the inferred, likely subset of

the users of the set top box based at least in part on the inferred content preferences

and information associated with the users of the disparate set top boxes related to a

particular one of the plurality of time subintervals, and transmit the user specific

recommendation to the set top box for display;

the collaborative filtering system infers content preferences and produces the

user specific recommendation by employing a global inference system that groups

set top boxes into clusters and generates a set of recommendations for members of

at least one cluster based on the temporal viewing habits of members of the cluster

and a cluster specific inference system that determines user preferences based on

correlations between users of a given cluster.

19-31. (Canceled).

32. (Withdrawn) The system of claim 18, the multimedia system residing on

a remote server coupled to the set top box and the disparate set top boxes wherein

-7-

lee@haves The Business of IP®

Atty Docket No.: MS1-4861US Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck

recommendations are generated by the server and transmitted to the set top box and the disparate set top boxes.

33. (Withdrawn) The system of claim 32, the set top box having an

electronic program guide system that receives and displays the recommendations to

a user.

34-52. (Canceled).

53. (Previously Presented) A system for ranking items in a selectable

information list received from an information delivery system, comprising:

means for logging selections of information viewed by local users of the

information delivery system and temporal history related to time segments within a

day that correspond to the viewing of the selected information, the selections of

information logged for a plurality of days;

means for training a plurality of separate collaborative filtering models, each

with information from a corresponding, respective time segment within a day that has

been viewed by the local users and disparate logged temporal history that has been

viewed by a plurality of global users;

means for inferring content preferences associated with a likely subset of the

local users that employs the information delivery system during a particular time

segment within a day utilizing a respective one of the collaborative filtering models

corresponding to a target time period to provide a recommendation;

-8-

lee@haves The Business of IP®

Atty Docket No.: MS1-4861US Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck

means for generating the recommendation specific to the inferred, likely

subset of the local users based at least in part on the inferred content preferences

and information obtained from a plurality of global users related to the particular time

segment within a day;

means for automatically broadening to include at least one additional time

segment within a day when the recommendation yielded from the particular time

segment within a day covering the target time period is inadequate; and

means for displaying the recommendation.

-9-

lee@hayes The Business of IP®

Atty Docket No.: MS1-4861US Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck